

Approved Fo FRIESS 2002/08/29 FCIA-RDP81-00142/2000100090045-6 WASHINGTON, D. C. 20301

DIA review(s) completed.

27 JUL 1974

U-114/RDS-1

MEMORANDUM FOR THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE (COMMUNICATIONS, COMMAND, CONTROL AND INTELLIGENCE)

SUBJECT: Plan for reduction in scope of DIA Building

The purpose of this memorandum is to set into perspective the implications and ramifications underlying the PRC tentative decision to limit authorization of the DIA Building to \$60.6 million. Notwithstanding that decision, it is important indeed to bear in mind that the need for a new home for DIA has long existed, is fully documented and clearly recognized by the Congress in committee reports. For that reason, it is highly improbable that the Congress will authorize a partially funded solution to a much larger problem.

Nonetheless, with all the problems implicit in an arbitrary limitation of \$60.6 million, a case must be made in a way that will at least assure an authorization in that amount for FY 1979. There are two compelling reasons: land use changes of the Cafritz property and the forthcoming disposal or structural failure of weathered and overstrained buildings at Arlington Hall Station. FY 1979 is the last opportunity for obtaining appropriations to replace the facilities at both locations.

The Cafritz Building is held under a lease expiring in November 1977. The building houses imagery collection and photo processing of importance to DIA, the intelligence community, and the National Command Authorities. The lease will be renewed for five years, subject to demand by the owner. The land has been rezoned for high rise development in three to five years. The building lies in the path of that development.

Continued use of decrepit World War II wood frame buildings at Arlington Hall Station presents a risk of a catastrophic intelligence failure during any crisis situation. We stand a chance at any moment to lose the entire data base and suffer serious personnel injuries. To preserve the buildings could cost as much as \$13 million according to surveys by an architectural engineering firm.

The Defense Intelligence School at Anacostia Annex is housed in temporary buildings in the same or worse condition as those at Arlington Hall Station. They are equally unsafe and frequently damaged by intermittent flooding causing deterioration of utility systems requiring complete replacement in the immediate future. The property is planned for use by the Park Service and is held under a permit expiring 31 December 1978, subject to renegotiation between the Navy and the Department of Interior.

Approved For Release 2002/08/21: CIA-RDP81-00142R000100090045-6

A \$60.6 million building will house operations at the Cafritz Building and Arlington Hall Station, but will not accommodate the Defense Intelligence School nor those operations at Pomponio Plaza housing estimative functions, scientific intelligence and the management of the attache system.

The Pomponio building is adequate, but its location compounds the dispersion problems that separate our analysts, thus impairing effective production of intelligence.

With the exception of a small services and support staff, the building will fundamentally house only basic intelligence functions, critically related supporting activities; e.g., ADP and telecommunications, a photo lab and the personnel management staff. All other elements currently located in the Pentagon, Pomponio Plaza and Anacostia Annex will remain in place. A \$60.6 million building will provide 553,800 gross square feet for 1685 personnel, as shown in comparison with the \$90.6 million building plan in the accompanying enclosure.

Design of the building has progressed through concept development, and entry into preparation of contract drawings begins in August 1977. The design effort should proceed until a point is reached when redirection of design is indeed appropriate.

As an alternative approach it is my firm belief that we must plan now to expand the basic \$60.6 million building to accommodate the Intelligence School and activities at Pomponio Plaza to achieve the full economic advantage of consolidating our outlying buildings in a single location. We should obtain the authorization in FY 1979 and appropriations in FY 1980. The estimated additional cost is \$35 million, \$5 million more than the \$30 million reduction in scope, due to an additional year of price escalation and costs to refit the building. This incremental approach requires prior approval of OMB and exceptions to Defense and Congressional policies which fundamentally discourage incremental funding.

Enclosure 1

EUGENE F. TIGHE, JR. Lieutenant Gereral, USAF

Acting Director

effect the NFIB busyer.
Ple feet to some busyer.

Approv For Release 2002/08/21 : CIA-RDP8 1 142R000100090045-6

(SCOPE \$ MIL)

	•	90.6	60.6				
Collection Managem	ent	220	Pentagon				
Basic Intelligence	Production	674	674				
Scientific Intelli	gence	137	Pomponio Plaza i				
Estimates		80	Pomponio Plaza				
Photo Interpretation	on	53	53				
Production Manageme	ent	44	44				
Plans and Policy	•	46	Pentagon				
Data Processing		245	245				
Communications		90	95				
Security .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	⁻ 90	Pentagon				
Reproduction		142	142				
Central Reference	Library	124	124				
Photo Processing		126	126				
Intelligence Schoo	1	85	Anacostia Annex				
Administration, Lo Personnel	gistics and	165	142				
•	Subtotal	2321	1645				
Students Contractors Liaison		300 75 <u>40</u>	Anacostia Annex Pomponio Plaza <u>40</u>				
	Total	27386	1685				
Size in Square Feet:							
Gross Net		839,800 567,600	553,800 366,600				

Approved For Release 2002/08/21 : CIA-RDP81-00142R000100090045-6

DIA BUILDING OPTIONS

AT LANGLEY

NUMBER OF PERSONNEL OR LOCATION OTHER THAN NEW BLDG.

DIA FUNCTIONAL ELEMENTS	AREA (NET SQ. FT.) ¹	AS PROPOSED	OPTION A ²	OPTION B	OPTION C
Collection Management	48,466	220	Pentagon	220	Pentagon
Basic Intelligence Production & DAS	148,482	674	674	674	674
Scientific Intelligence	30,181	137	Pomponio	137	Pomponio
Estimates	17,624	80	Pomponio	80	Pomponio
Photo Interpretation	11,676	53	53	53	53
Production Management	9,693	44	44	44	44
Plans and Policy	10,133	46	Pentagon	46	Pentagon
Data Processing	33,112	245	245	245	245
Communications	12,163	90	95	90	95
Reproduction	11,000	142	142	142 (No space req'd) ³	142 (No space req'd) ³
Central Reference Library	53,300	124	124	124 (decrease space 26,000) ⁴	124 (decrease space 26,000) ⁴
Photo Processing	55,550	126	126	Elsewhere	Elsewhere
Intelligence School	53,800	85	Anacostia Annex	Elsewhere	Elsewhere
Administration, Logistics, Person- nel, & Cafeteria	72,420	165	142	165 (decrease space 4,950) ⁵	142 (decrease space 4,950) ⁵

NUMBER OF PERSONNEL OR LOCATION OTHER THAN NEW BLDG.

DIA FUNCTIONAL ELEMENTS	AREA (NET SQ. FT.)	AS PROPOSED	OPTION A	OPTION B	OPTION C
Security		90	Pentagon	90	Pentagon
Students	(Incor- porated	300	Anacostia Annex	Elsewhere	Elsewhere
Contractors	above)	75	Pomponio	. 75	Pomponio
Liaison		40	40	40	40
TOTAL		2,736	1,685	2,225	1,559
Size in Square Feet					
Net		567,600	407,396 ⁶	416,300	309,896
Gross		839,800	602,768 ⁶	615,942	458,511

- 1 Area figures were taken from DD Form 1390, FY 1979, Military Construction Program dated 30 Nov 77, and the referent to this memorandum. Several figures for individual components had to be calculated using the total utilization ratio times the number of personnel and, therefore, may vary slightly from DIA actuals.
- 2 The components excluded from the new building were selected by General Tighe as described in the referent to this memorandum.
- 3 Reproduction (printing) personnel incorporated in CIA printing plant, no additional space required.
- 4 Library space reduced by 26,000 net sq. ft. resulting from consolidation as described in Attachment 5 to Memorandum dated 3 Nov 77 to DCI from AC/RECD/OL, subject: Additional Information Regarding Collocation of CIA/DIA Facilities (OL 7 5024).
- 5 Cafeteria space reduced by 25 percent or 4,950 net sq. ft. as described in paragraph 1 of Memorandum dated 9 May 78 to DDA from DC/RECD/OL, subject: Additional Cost Savings for Proposed Consolidation of CIA/DIA Facilities (OL 8 2039).
- 6 The figures shown are approximately 10 percent higher than those used by General Tighe in the referent because Option A apparently included not only the deletion of functions from the proposed building but also a reduction in the area of those functions remaining. However, for purposes of comparison the proposed rather than adjusted figures must be used, i.e., the same area figures must be used for all options.